UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,310	09/09/2003	Ed H. Frank	14177US02	2145
	7590 07/14/200 S HELD & MALLOY,	EXAMINER		
500 WEST MADISON STREET			JOHNSON, CARLTON	
SUITE 3400 CHICAGO, IL	60661		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2136	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/658,310	FRANK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	CARLTON V. JOHNSON	2136				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply original.	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	ision thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second c	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); er form for appeal by materially rec	TE below); ducing or simplifying th				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-42. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		ll be entered and an e:	xplanation of			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·				
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 						
13. Other:						
/Nasser G Moazzami/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2136	/Carlton V. Johnson/ Examiner, Art Unit 2136					

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues two features: (1) a request communicated over a PHY channel; and (b) communications over a PHY channel.

Chandrashekhar discloses a request for communications over a PHY communications channel. (see Chandrashekhar paragraph [0054], lines 3-5; paragraph [0054], lines 10-12: hybrid communications network; paragraph [0056], lines 1-3: request for communications service)

The Chandrashekhar prior art discloses a communication session hosted over a PHY channel. (see Chandrashekhar paragraph [0057], lines 1-5; paragraph [0062], lines 1-4: physical communications channel) A physical channel is a requirement in order to perform network communications between two network-connected endpoints. The Chandrashekhar prior art discloses that the hosted session can be over either one of multiple communications paths (channels) available to the prior art invention. (see Chandrashekhar paragraph [0040], lines 4-6; paragraph [0040], lines 9-11; paragraph [0075], lines 6-19: multiple (first, second, third) communications paths (PHY channels))

The Chandrashekhar prior art discloses authentication using a first physical (PHY) channel for a request for VPN service and a second physical (PHY) channel for the authentication procedure. (see Chandrashekhar Figure 3; paragraph [0057], lines 1-5; paragraph [0062], lines 1-4) The VPN manager utilizes an authentication server, which is connected by a communications bus or communications path and performs the authentication procedure. This is a different communications path than utilized for the request for VPN service from user1 to the VPN manager (enhanced application portal). The Chandrashekhar prior art discloses the claim limitation of a first channel for processing a request and a second channel for authentication.

The Chandrashekhar prior art discloses multiple communications paths available for access to the authentication server, to host a communications session, and for the secure transfer of security information (encryption/decryption keys). (see Chandrashekhar paragraph [0040], lines 4-6; paragraph [0040], lines 9-11: multiple (first, second, third) communications paths (PHY channels))